AF3621 Jun 6715/59089



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicant :

Han Min-Jae

Serial No.:

09/319,093

Filed

August 16, 1999

Appeal No.

For

RECORD/PLAYBACK APPARATUS AND

RECORD/PLAYBACK METHOD

Group

3621

Examiner :

John W. Hayes

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to : Mail Stop Appeal Brief, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 2231371450

JAY H. MAIOLI

Reg. No. 27,213

March 9, 2005

March 9, 2005 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 278-0400

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR APPELLANT'S BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted in triplicate is Appellant's Appeal Brief in the above-identified application.

The fee of \$500.00 set by 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b) for

filing the Brief is submitted herewith.

Please charge any additional fees incurred by reason of the Brief or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-3125. A duplicate of this Transmittal Letter is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Jay H. Maioli Reg. No. 27,213

JHM/PCF:pmc enc.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicant Han Min-Jae

Serial No.:

09/319,093

Filed

August 16, 1999

Appeal No.

For

RECORD/PLAYBACK APPARATUS AND

RECORD/PLAYBACK METHOD

Group

3621

Examiner

John W. Hayes

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal $\,$ Service as first class mail addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JAY H. MAIOLI Reg. No. 27,213

March 9, 2005

March 9, 2005 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 278-0400

APPELLANT'S BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an Appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the Decision dated January 11, 2005, of the Examiner finally rejecting claims 1-18 pending in application.

03/15/2005 AWONDAF1 00000132 09319093 02 FC:1402

500.00 OP

1

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

This application is assigned to Sony Corporation, 7-35 Kitashinagawa 6-chome, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 141, Japan by the Assignment recorded August 16, 1999 at Reel 010187, Frame 0469.

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences presently known to the undersigned.

3. STATUS OF ALL CLAIMS

This application was originally filed with 44 claims. Claims 1-44 were amended by the Preliminary Amendment mailed January 20, 2000. Claims 1, 5-7, 11, 18, 19, 23, 31, 41, 42 and 44 were amended and new claim 45 was added by the Amendment mailed November 12, 2001. Claims 1, 8, 11, 19, 23, 31, 38, 41, and 45 were amended by the Amendment mailed June 20, 2002, and amended again by the Amendment mailed December 11, 2002. Claims 19-45 were cancelled and claims 1 and 11 amended by the Amendment mailed April 10, 2003. Claims 1 and 11 were amended by the Amendment mailed October 30, 2003, and amended again by the Amendment mailed March 26, 2004. Claims 1 and 11 were further amended by the Amendment mailed September 2, 2004. A Final Office Action was mailed on January 11, 2005 finally rejecting claims 1-18. Claims 1-18 stand finally rejected and are the basis of this Appeal.

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS FILED SUBSEQUENT TO FINAL REJECTION

A Final Office Action was mailed on January 11, 2005 finally rejecting claims 1-18. Claims 1-18 stand finally rejected and are set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto.

5. SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The presently claimed invention relates to a record/playback apparatus including:

a record/playback unit configured to read out data from a first recording medium (15 in Fig. 1) and recording the data onto a second recording medium (16 in Fig. 1);

a control unit (13 in Fig. 1) configured to generate basic data (13B in Fig. 1) for imposing payment based on a copyright holder ID read from a control data storage region (TOC) of the first recording medium (Page 9, lines 3-9, and output of 13 in Fig. 1), data indicative of a user identification, and data indicative of a dubbing speed selected by the user (page 10, lines 1-13, and output of 13 in Fig. 1) for recording the data read out from the first recording medium (15 in Fig. 1) onto the second recording medium (16 in Fig. 1) and configured to transmit the basic data for imposing payment (14 in Fig. 1), and when receiving data indicative of proper completion of imposing payment (page 15, lines 8-13), configured to cause the record/playback unit to start the recording of the data read out

from the first recording medium (15 in Fig. 1) onto the second recording medium (16 in Fig. 1) at the dubbing speed selected by the user (page 12, lines 6-13); and

a payment imposing unit (20 in Fig. 1) configured to determine, when receiving the basic data for imposing payment transmitted by the control unit (step S2 in Fig. 2) an amount of payment based on the dubbing speed selected by the user and the copyright holder ID (steps S3 and S4 in Fig. 2), and configured to transmit to the control unit the data indicative of proper completion of imposing payment (step S5 in Fig. 2) when an electronic transfer of funds equal to the determined amount of payment is withdrawn from an account of the user and transferred to an account specified by the copyright holder ID (page 11, line 20 to page 12, line 3),

wherein the control unit (13 in Fig. 1) causes, when the dubbing speed is a standard dubbing speed, the record/playback unit to start the recording of the data read out from the first recording medium (15 in Fig. 1) onto the second recording medium (16 in Fig. 1) at the standard dubbing speed without transmitting the basic data to the payment imposing unit (page 27, lines 12-21).

6. ISSUES

Whether claims 1-18 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ball et al. in view of Suzuki, Yoshioka, Freeny, Jr.,

and Endoh.

7. GROUPING OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-18 stand or fall together.

ARGUMENT

CLAIMS 1-18 ARE PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) OVER BALL ET AL. IN VIEW OF SUZUKI, YOSHIOKA, FREENY, JR., AND ENDOH

As described above in the "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" section of this Brief, features of the claimed invention are a payment imposing unit wherein a payment imposed upon a user is based in part on a dubbing speed selected by the user, a control unit for starting the dubbing at a standard dubbing speed without transmitting basic data for imposing unit, the payment imposing unit transferring a payment collected to an account specified by the copyright holder, and the control unit reading a copyright holder ID from a TOC area of a first recording medium.

It is respectfully submitted that the combination of Ball et al., Suzuki, Yoshioka, Freeny, Jr., and Endoh fails to show or suggest the above-noted features of the present invention for the following reasons.

The Examiner concedes that Ball et al. fails to show or suggest a payment imposing unit wherein a payment imposed upon a user is based in part on a dubbing speed selected by the user and

cites Suzuki as curing this deficiency.

It is respectfully submitted that Suzuki fails to show or suggest a payment imposing unit wherein a payment imposed upon a user is based in part on a dubbing speed selected by the user.

Suzuki relates to an information providing apparatus that manages a transmission band of a transmission line and charges a user depending on a data compression rate and on a wait period for receiving the data. See Fig. 6, and col. 6, lines 43-59 of Suzuki, for example.

It is respectfully submitted that it would not have been obvious to combine Suzuki with Ball et al. because the art of managing a transmission band of a transmission line would not be investigated by an inventor designing a dubbing system connected to a payment imposing unit.

The Federal Circuit states that "[t]he mere fact that the prior art may be modified in the manner suggested by the Examiner does not make the modification obvious unless the prior art suggested the desirability of the modification." In refritch, 972 F.2d 1260, 1266 n.14, 23 USPQ2d 1780, 1783-84 n.14 (Fed. Cir. 1972), citing In re Gordon, 733 F.2d 900, 902, 221 USPQ 1125, 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1984). It is further established that "[s]uch a suggestion may come from the nature of the problem to be solved, leading inventors to look to references relating to possible solutions to that problem." Pro-Mold & Tool Co. v. Great Lakes Plastics, Inc., 75 F.3d 1568, 1573, 37 USPQ2d 1626,

1630 (Fed. Cir. 1996), citing <u>In re Rinehart</u>, 531 F.2d 1048, 1054, 189 USPQ 143, 149 (CCPA 1976) (considering the problem to be solved in a determination of obviousness). The Federal Circuit reasons in <u>Para-Ordnance Mfg., Inc. v. SGS Importers Int'l, Inc.</u>, 73 F. 3d 1085, 1088-89, 37 USPQ2d 1237, 1239-40 (Fed. Cir. 1995), that for the determination of obviousness, the court must answer whether one of ordinary skill in the art who sets out to solve the problem and who had before him in his workshop the prior art, would have been reasonably expected to use the solution that is claimed by the Appellant.

In addition, the Federal Circuit requires the Office to make findings on a suggestion to combine prior art references.

In re Dembiczak, 175 F.3d 994, 1000-01, 50 USPQ2d 1614, 1617-18 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The Federal Circuit states further that the "factual question of motivation is material to patentability, and could not be resolved on subjective belief and unknown authority."

In re Lee, 277 F.3d 1338, 1345, 61 USPQ2d 1430, 1433-34 (Fed. Cir. 2002). It is improper, in determining whether a person of ordinary skill would have been led to this combination of references, simply to "[use] that which the inventor taught against its teacher."

W.L. Gore v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 1553, 220 USPQ 303, 312-13 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Assuming, <u>arguento</u>, that Suzuki could be combined with Ball et al., the combination would still fail to show or suggest a payment imposing unit wherein a payment imposed upon a user is

based in part on a dubbing speed selected by the user. Suzuki is merely charging a user based on the data compression rate and on a wait period for receiving the data.

Further, the Examiner concedes that Ball et al. fails to show or suggest a control unit for starting the dubbing speed at a standard dubbing speed without transmitting basic data for imposing payment to the payment imposing unit and cites Yoshioka as curing this deficiency.

Yoshioka relates to a dubbing unit wherein the dubbing can be carried out at a standard dubbing speed or at a fast dubbing speed in response to a switch position, see col. 5, lines 3-21 of Yoshioka, and is silent about charging anything for the dubbing, therefore, the system of Yoshioka does not include a payment imposing unit. For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that it would not have been obvious to combine Yoshioka with Ball et al. and that Yoshioka teaches away from the presently claimed invention due to the lack of a payment imposing unit.

Furthermore, the Examiner concedes that Ball et al. fails to show or suggest the payment imposing unit transferring a payment collected to an account specified by the copyright holder and cites Freeny, Jr. as curing this deficiency.

Freeny, Jr. relates to a system for reproducing information by requesting reproduction codes at a point of sale location, see col. 13, lines 27-36 of Freeny, Jr., and is silent

about transferring a payment to an account specified by the copyright holder. In Freeny, Jr. it is the point of sale location owner who charges the credit card of the user.

Finally, the Examiner concedes that Ball et al. fails to show or suggest the control unit reading a copyright holder ID from a control data storage region (TOC) of a first recording medium and cites Endoh as curing this deficiency.

Endoh relates to a recording control apparatus wherein the user purchases a point tape having a point area for restricting a time during which a copy can be made. See col. 3, lines 31-34, and col. 4, lines 56-58 of Endoh.

It is respectfully submitted that the points in the point area of Endoh are unrelated to a copyright holder ID and that the point area of Endoh is unrelated to the control data storage region (TOC) according to the presently claimed invention.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-18 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ball et al. in view of Suzuki, Yoshioka, Freeny, Jr., and Endoh.

A reversal of the Final Rejection of claims 1-18 by this Honorable Board is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Jay H. Maioli Reg. No. 27,213

JHM/PCF:pmc

Exhibit A

Claim 1. A record/playback apparatus comprising:

a record/playback unit configured to read out data from a first recording medium and recording the data onto a second recording medium;

a control unit configured to generate basic data for imposing payment based on a copyright holder ID read from a control data storage region (TOC) of the first recording medium, data indicative of a user identification, and data indicative of a dubbing speed selected by the user for recording the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium and configured to transmit the basic data for imposing payment, and when receiving data indicative of proper completion of imposing payment, configured to cause the record/playback unit to start the recording of the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium at the dubbing speed selected by the user; and

a payment imposing unit configured to determine, when receiving the basic data for imposing payment transmitted by the control unit an amount of payment based on the dubbing speed selected by the user and the copyright holder ID, and configured to transmit to the control unit the data indicative of proper completion of imposing payment when an electronic transfer of funds equal to the determined amount of payment is withdrawn, from an account of the user and transferred to an account specified by the copyright holder ID,

wherein the control unit causes, when the dubbing speed is a standard dubbing speed, the record/playback unit to start the recording of the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium at the standard dubbing speed without transmitting the basic data to said payment imposing unit.

Claim 2. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the payment imposing unit includes a key data generator for generating key data as the data indicative of the completion of imposing payment from the received basic data.

Claim 3. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the control unit judges whether the key data received from the payment imposing unit as the data indicative of completion of imposing payment is authentic and controls operation of the record/playback unit with a result of the judgment.

Claim 4. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the control unit collates the key data received from the payment imposing unit as the data indicative of completion of imposing payment with key lock data stored therein and, when it is found from the collation that the key data is authentic, directs the record/playback unit to start the recording of the

data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium.

Claim 5. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 4, wherein the control unit, when the key data is judged authentic, directs the record/playback unit in response to the data indicative of a speed to record the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium.

Claim 6. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the payment imposing unit, when the data indicative of a speed in the basic data received from the control unit is indicative of reading out data from the first recording medium and recording the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium at a predetermined speed, cancels imposing payment and generates the key data.

Claim 7. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 6, wherein the payment imposing unit, when the data indicative of a speed in the basic data received from the control unit is indicative of reading out data from the first recording medium and recording the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium at a speed faster than the standard speed, imposes payment and generates the key data.

Claim 8. The record/playback apparatus according to claim

1, further comprising:

an operating unit connected to the control unit; and

a data storage unit where a plurality of data is stored, wherein the control unit reads out corresponding data from the data storage unit in response to indicator data supplied in response to an information input from the operating unit and directs the record/playback unit to record the data read out from the data storage unit onto the first recording medium.

Claim 9. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the payment imposing unit is connected via a communications line to the control unit.

Claim 10. The record/playback apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the payment imposing unit is connected via a communications line to the control unit.

Claim 11. A record/playback method of reading out data from a first recording medium and recording the data onto a second recording medium with the use of an apparatus capable of reading out the data from the first recording medium and recording the data onto the second recording medium, the method comprising the steps of:

generating basic data for imposing payment based on a copyright holder ID read from a control data storage region (TOC) of the first recording medium, data indicative of a user

identification, and data indicative of a dubbing speed selected by the user for recording the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium;

transmitting the basic data for imposing payment from the apparatus to a payment imposing unit;

imposing payment according to the basic data for imposing payment received, with an amount of payment determined based on the dubbing speed selected by the user, the copyright holder ID, and the user identification and for generating data indicative of proper completion of imposing payment when an electronic transfer of funds equal to the determined amount of payment is withdrawn from an account of the user in the payment imposing unit and transferred to an account specified by the copyright holder ID;

transmitting the data indicative of the proper completion of imposing payment from the payment imposing unit to the apparatus; and

controlling the apparatus to start recording the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium at the dubbing speed selected by the user in response to the data indicative of the proper completion of imposing payment,

wherein the controlling step includes controlling the apparatus to start the recording of the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium at a standard dubbing speed without transmitting the basic data to the payment imposing unit when the dubbing speed is the standard

dubbing speed.

Claim 12. The record/playback method according to claim 11 further comprising the step of:

generating key data using the payment imposing unit as the data indicative of the completion of imposing payment from the basic data received.

Claim 13. The record/playback method according to claim 12 further comprising the steps of:

judging whether the key data received as the data indicative of the completion of imposing payment is authentic; and

recording the data onto the second recording medium based on the judging.

Claim 14. The record/playback method according to claim 13, further comprising the steps of:

collating the key data received as the data indicative of the completion of imposing payment with key lock data held within the apparatus to judge the authenticity of the key data; and

recording the data read out from the first recording medium onto the second recording medium when the key data is judged authentic.

Claim 15. The record/playback method according to claim 14

further comprising the steps of:

recording the data onto the second recording medium according to the data indicative of a speed when the key data is judged authentic.

Claim 16. The record/playback method according to claim 12 further comprising the steps of

canceling imposing payment and generating the key data when the data indicative of a speed in the basic data received is indicative of reading out the data from the first recording medium and recording it onto the second recording medium at a predetermined speed.

Claim 17. The record/playback method according to claim 16 further comprising the steps of:

imposing payment and generating the key data when the data indicative of a speed in the basic data received from the control unit is indicative of reading out data from the first recording medium and recording the read-out data onto the second recording medium at a speed faster than the predetermined speed.

Claim 18. The record/playback method according to claim 11 further comprising the step of:

reading out corresponding data from a data storage unit in response to indicator data supplied from an operating unit and

recorded onto the first recording medium.